1	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner		
2	MIRANDA LEKANDER Assistant Chief Counsel		
3	LINDSAY B. HERRICK (State Bar No. 224986) Counsel		
4	Department of Business Oversight 1515 K Street, Suite 200		
5 6	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 445-3682 Facsimile: (916) 445-6985		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9			
10	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT		
11	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	In the Matter of:	OAH Case No.: 2017060113	
14	THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT,	CDDTL LICENSE NO.: 100-3843	
15	Complainant,		
16	V.	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT	
17			
18	GUY HONG HWANG doing business as CHECK IN CASH OUT,) Date: August 28-29, 2017 Time: 9:00 a.m.	
19	Dogman dant	Place: 1515 Clay Street, Suite 206 Oakland, California 94612	
20	Respondent.	Oakland, California 94612	
21	This Settlement Agreement is entered between the Department of Business Oversight		
22	(Department) through the Commissioner of Business Oversight (Commissioner), on the one hand,		
23	and Guy Hong Hwang, doing business as Check In Cash Out (Hwang) on the other hand (hereafter,		
24	the Parties), and is based on the following:		
25	RECITALS		
26	A. Hwang is a deferred deposit transaction originator licensed by the Commissioner		
27	pursuant to the California Deferred Deposit Transaction Law (CDDTL) (Fin. Code, § 23000 et seq).		
28	Hwang's principal place of business is 1111 W. El Camino Real, #119, Sunnyvale, California 94807		

and was previously located in "#121" at that address.

- B. On or about July 24, 2013, the Commissioner commenced a regulatory examination of Hwang's books and records and commenced a follow-up examination on August 1, 2014. The regulatory examinations revealed that Hwang is making deferred deposit transactions (DDTs), commonly referred to as "payday loans," but is failing to enter written agreements with borrowers that are in compliance with the CDDTL. In addition, Hwang is failing to maintain evidence of customer checks, making DDTs greater than \$300.00, failing to post the DDTs in customer history transaction records, holding customer checks for greater than 31 days, making multiple DDTs to the same customer at the same time, has charged an excess fee under CDDTL, and has filed small claim actions against customers for amounts that are prohibited under the CDDTL.
- C. Thereafter, a third regulatory examination was commenced on September 10, 2015, at which time it was discovered that Hwang began segregating his business records to separate transactions greater than \$300.00, claiming they were check cashing activities. On July 27, 2016, the Department took possession of Hwang's files for further examination and investigation. Review of Hwang's documents and further investigation by the Department confirmed Hwang's violations to be ongoing.
- D. The books and records that were reviewed during the examinations revealed that Hwang was not entering written agreements with customers for DDTs as required under Financial Code section 23035 and also failed to provide the notices required under subsections (c) and (e) of that provision.
- E. Hwang entered loan agreements in violation of the CDDTL with customers who were not provided the above-stated notices, for amounts greater than \$300.00, often for amounts into the thousands of dollars, and often required customers to agree to pay "treble damages" under Civil Code section 1719. Hwang then sued these customers for and/or recovered such damages in small claims lawsuits along with attorney fees or court costs.
- F. In addition, the regulatory examinations further disclosed that Hwang failed to maintain copies of customer deferred checks for a period of two years from the date of the last transaction as required by Financial Code section 23024 and California Code of Regulations, title 10,

section 2025, subdivision (c)(1).

G. It was revealed during the follow-up examinations of Hwang and through additional investigation by the Department that, in addition to the violations noted above, Hwang was also making multiple DDTs for the same customer in violation of Financial Code section 23036, subdivision (c), and transactions were not being recorded in the customers' transaction history records in violation of Financial Code section 23024 and California Code of Regulations, title10, section 2025, subdivision (c)(1).

- H. Hwang has also collected an excess fee in violation of Financial Code section 23036, subdivision (f), which states in pertinent part: "No amount in excess of the amounts authorized by the section shall be directly or indirectly charged by a licensee pursuant to a deferred deposit transaction." Here, for example, Hwang entered into a deferred deposit transaction with a customer for \$275.00, of which \$233.73 was financed with a \$41.25 finance charge. Hwang, however, collected from the customer \$280.00 for the \$275.00 transaction.
- I. In addition, the follow-up examinations revealed that Hwang was entering loan agreements that were not in writing as required by Financial Code section 23035, subdivision (e).
- J. The follow-up examinations and investigations by the Department also revealed that Hwang has a history of suing his customers in small claims court on the loan agreements in violation of the CDDTL, including bringing lawsuits seeking or recovering treble damages, which also violates the CDDTL.
- K. Hwang's practice of entering loan agreements with customers for amounts greater than \$300.00, which fail to provide the required notices, are made while there is already another loan agreement in effect with the same customer, and/or which require the customer to pay treble damages is unfair, unlawful or deceptive conduct in connection with the business of deferred deposit transactions, in violation of Financial Code section 23037, subdivision (f).
- L. In addition, Hwang failed to file with the Commissioner the annual report required under Financial Code section 23026 for 2016. Hwang was required to file the report no later than March 15, 2017.
 - M. On May 4, 2017, the Commissioner issued an Accusation to Revoke California

Deferred Deposit Transaction Law License Pursuant to Financial Code Section 23052 (Accusation)
and an Order to Desist and Refrain Including Forfeiture of All Charges and Fees Pursuant to
Financial Code Sections 23050 and 23062 (Order) against Hwang.

- N. On May 23, 2017, Hwang requested a hearing on the Accusation and Order. A two-day administrative hearing on August 28-29, 2017 is currently pending with the Office of Administrative Hearings, Oakland facility (administrative hearing).
- O. It is the intention of the Parties to resolve these matters without the necessity of a hearing and/or other litigation.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the forgoing, and the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Parties agree as follows.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. <u>Purpose</u>. This Settlement Agreement is entered into for the purpose of judicial economy and efficiency, and to avoid the expense of a hearing and possible other court proceedings.
- 2. <u>Surrender of CDDTL License</u>. The Commissioner agrees to accept the surrender of Hwang's California Deferred Deposit Transaction Law license upon the following conditions:
- a. <u>Finality of Orders</u>. Hwang stipulates to the finality of the Order to Desist and Refrain Including Forfeiture of All Charges and Fees Pursuant to Financial Code Sections 23050 and 23062 (Order) issued by the Commissioner on May 4, 2017, and further stipulates to the finality of the Order directing Hwang to desist and refrain from further violating Financial Code sections 23024. 23026, 23035, subdivisions (a), (c) and (e), 23036, subdivisions (c), (d) and (f), 23037, subdivision (f) and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 2025, subdivision (c)(1).
- b. Waiver of Hearing Rights. Hwang agrees to waive all rights to hearing, appeal, or judicial review of the Accusation and Order which may be afforded under the CDDTL, the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) (Gov. Code, § 11400 et seq.), the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other law; and by doing so, Hwang effectively withdraws his request for hearing on the Accusation and Order.
- c. <u>Payment of Outstanding Dues and Fees</u>. Hwang hereby agrees to pay the
 Commissioner within 15 days from the Effective Date of this Settlement Agreement the sum of

\$3,000.00 as payment in full of the outstanding amounts owed to the Department stemming from his CDDTL license including but not limited to examination costs pursuant to Financial Code section 23046.

- 3. <u>Future Actions by the Commissioner</u>. The Parties hereby acknowledge and agree that this Settlement Agreement is intended to constitute a full, final and complete resolution of this matter. The Parties further acknowledge and agree that nothing contained in this Settlement Agreement shall operate to limit the Commissioner's ability to assist any other agency, (county, state or federal) with any prosecution, administrative, civil or criminal, brought by any such agency against Hwang based upon any of the subject matter hereof or otherwise. This Settlement Agreement shall not limit the ability of the Commissioner to bring any administrative or civil action to enforce compliance with the Order or to seek penalties for its violation. Further, the Commissioner reserves the right to bring any future action(s) against Hwang or any of the managers, officers, directors, shareholders or employees of Hwang for all unknown or future violations of the CDDTL.
- 4. <u>Failure to Comply with Agreement</u>. Hwang agrees that if he fails to meet any requirement of this Settlement Agreement, the CDDTL license of Hwang shall be immediately revoked. Hwang hereby waives any notice and hearing rights to contest the immediate revocation which may be afforded under the CDDTL, the APA, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other law.
- 5. <u>Independent Legal Advice</u>. Hwang represents, warrants, and agrees that he has had the opportunity to seek independent advice from legal counsel and/or representative with respect to the advisability of executing this Settlement Agreement.
- 6. No Other Representation. Each of the Parties represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Settlement Agreement each has relied solely on the statements set forth herein and the advice of its own counsel and/or representative. Each of the Parties further represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Settlement Agreement it has placed no reliance on any statement, representation, or promise of any other party, or any other person or entity not expressly set forth herein, or upon the failure of any party or any other person or entity to make any statement, representation or disclosure of anything whatsoever. The Parties have included this clause: (1) to

preclude any claim that any party was in any way fraudulently induced to execute this Settlement Agreement; and (2) to preclude the introduction of parol evidence to vary, interpret, supplement, or contradict the terms of this Settlement Agreement.

- 7. <u>Modifications and Qualified Integration</u>. No amendment, change or modification to this Settlement Agreement shall be valid or binding to any extent unless it is in writing and signed by all the parties affected by it.
- 8. <u>Full Integration</u>. This Settlement Agreement is the final written expression and the complete and exclusive statement of all the agreements, conditions, promises, representations, and covenants between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior or contemporaneous agreements, negotiations, representations, understandings, and discussions between and among the Parties, their respective representatives, and any other person or entity, with respect to the subject matter covered hereby.
- 9. No Presumption from Drafting. In that the Parties have had the opportunity to draft, review and edit the language of this Settlement Agreement, no presumption for or against any party arising out of drafting all or any part of this Settlement Agreement will be applied in any action relating to, connected, to, or involving this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Parties waive the benefit of Civil Code section 1654 and any successor or amended statute, providing that in cases of uncertainty, language of a contract should be interpreted most strongly against the party who caused the uncertainty to exist.
- 10. <u>Future License</u>. If Hwang applies for any license, permit or qualification under the Commissioner's current or future jurisdiction, or is the subject of any future action or action by the Commissioner to enforce this Settlement Agreement, then the subject matter hereof shall be admitted for the purpose of such application(s) or enforcement proceedings(s).
- 11. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be an original but all of which, together, shall be deemed to constitute a single document.
- 12. <u>Terms, Headings and Governing Law</u>. All terms used, but not defined herein, shall have the meaning assigned to them by the CDDTL. The headings to the paragraphs of this

	Settlement Agreement are inserted for convenience only and will not be deemed a part hereof or	
	affect the construction or interpretation of the provisions hereof. This Settlement Agreement shall be	
construed and enforced in accordance with, and governed by, the laws of the State of California.		
	13. <u>Authority for Settlement</u> . Each party warrants and represents that such party is fully	

- 13. <u>Authority for Settlement</u>. Each party warrants and represents that such party is fully entitled and duly authorized to enter and deliver this Settlement Agreement. In particular, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each party warrants and represents that it is fully entitled to enter the covenants, and undertake the obligations set forth herein.
- 14. <u>Public Record</u>. Hwang acknowledges that this Settlement Agreement and the Order referenced herein are public records.
- 15. <u>Voluntary Agreement</u>. The Parties each represent and acknowledge that in executing this Settlement Agreement, each does so completely voluntarily and without any duress or undue influence of any kind from any source.
- 16. <u>Effective Date</u>: This Settlement Agreement shall become effective when executed by the Commissioner or her designee and transmitted by electronic mail (email) to Hwang at repro4989@gmail.com.

Dated:8/11/17		Commissioner of Business Oversight
	Ву:	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division
Dated: <u>8/11/17</u>	I: <u>8/11/17</u>	GUY HONG HWANG, doing business as CHECK IN CASH OUT
	Ву:	GUY HONG HWANG individually and on behalf of CHECK IN CASH OUT